

# **Risk to World Wide National Health Sovereignty NOT NOW! Concerned US Citizens and Republican lawmakers held Press Conference April 18, 2024.**

With just 37 days to go before the upcoming meeting of the World Health Assembly in Geneva, members of the US based “Sovereignty Coalition” held a press release to inform the public about the need to delay the ratification of the World Health Organization Pandemic Treaty and the latest proposed amendments to the International Health Regulations (IHR).

Formed in 1948, the World Health Organization (WHO) was initially tasked with furthering international cooperation to improve public health conditions around the world. The definition of health used at the time went beyond the absence of disease or infirmity and included physical, mental, and social well-being. The WHO was also given additional tasks like epidemic control, quarantine measures and drug standardization, carried over from international health organizations founded even earlier by leading families of what has been referred to as “the donor class” of America.

At the upcoming 77th World Health Assembly (WHA77) in Geneva, member nations of today’s WHO are set to ratify two documents - recent Amendments to International Health Regulations last updated in 2005 and a Pandemic Treaty first proposed in December 2021. The Working Groups tasked with finalizing the documents did not meet the required 4 month notice period. Currently, just over a month before the ratification is to occur, final drafts are not yet available for review and consideration by health policy analysts in the various member nations. In fact, the cost estimate of contributions of member nations will not be known prior to December 31, 2026. Lawmakers and citizens are pointing out that long-term binding contracts cannot be accepted point blank without knowing important details.

Speakers at the Press Conference held in Washington DC included Wisconsin Senator Ron Johnson and various Republican members of Congress such as Ralph Norman (South Carolina), Chip Roy (Texas), and Andy Biggs (Arizona).

Some the key concerns expressed at the Press Conference include how both treaties involve an unprecedented concentration of power in the hands of a single individual - the Director General of the World Health Organization, Tedros Ghebreyesus. After the ratification of both documents, the Director General will be able to declare health or climate emergencies at a regional or global level and roll out binding measures upon the population of all member nations involved.

Regie Littlejohn, the founder of Antiglobalist International, stated: *“These treaties are the greatest threat to freedom that the world has ever faced. Public health is being used as a tool for total control.”* She explained that it is not a public health issue, but rather a way to implement totalitarianism on a global scale. Despite the Director General’s

claims to the contrary, these treaties pave the way for the ongoing implementation of mandates around vaccines, masks, lockdowns and quarantines. They can also include influencing governments of the world to survey and censor their citizens through mandatory digital IDs. While the Pandemic Treaty specifically states that its terms do not pose a threat to nations' sovereignty, among the amendments to the IHR (which are intended to go hand in glove with the Treaty) many specific references are included that indicate how nations would be required to follow binding mandates set by the WHO on various important matters.

New Jersey Congressman Chris Smith is the Chair of the House Global Health, Global Human Rights and International Organizations Subcommittee. In this role he has travelled to Geneva and has previously spoken to representatives of other member nations. He shared that some representatives report being offered additional monies in turn for signing on. They are also being told not to worry about national sovereignty once they sign on to the binding documentation.

Many of the concerns expressed at the press conference on April 18 were echoed by the speakers at a convention gathered in Rome, Italy on April 19, 2024. Dutch European Union member Robert Roos was present in Rome and explained how 80% of the WHO's funding comes through "voluntary contributions" of which 90% come already earmarked for specific projects. This means the WHO does not get to decide how to spend the monies it gets from organizations with a vested interest. The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation donated more than \$1 billion in 2022-23. With donations also coming from the vaccine alliance GAVI, the WHO is not free to follow its original mandate of promoting health unencumbered.

In addition to addressing medical neutrality being compromised through the power of corporate donors, Mr. Roos also spoke about the concern that the WHO violated its own voting procedures. There is no evidence that the reduction from 24 to 12 months notice to be given of planned policy changes was voted on in accordance with the WHO's own governance policies. Mr. Roos has been waiting for 5 months for a record of how that vote was held and who was present. To date, nothing has been provided to him. Additionally, Mr. Roos stated, the desire of the WHO to proceed with the ratification of both documents in clear violation of its own four month notice policy shows that the WHO can no longer be considered as an organization with integrity. Back in 2017 already, the former Director General of the WHO, Dr. Margaret Chan, explained that with only 20% of the WHO's funding being predictable, she found herself "going around with a hat" to "beg" for funds from other organizations which often have priorities that differ from those of the WHO itself. As a result, the WHO has become more of an enabler of the priorities set by its BigPharma donors than ever before in its 7 decade long history.

What was once seen as a "benign, objective organization" is now circumventing national parliaments with its violations of procedure, while proposing the implementation of documents that are poised to sideline democracy with a "one size fits all" response to a crisis that can be declared at any point with a very low threshold of evidence.

Mr. Roos was joined by many other speakers reflecting expertise in areas from A to Z, from Anthrax and Bioweapons to Zoonotic diseases showing flaws in the animal to human transfer of disease theory. This theory was one of the corner stones not only of the recent climate change fear filled narrative shared by the WHO, but also a key component of the public narrative around the “origin story” of COVID-19. This narrative has been resoundly “debunked” since it was first tested out in simulation events held prior to the start of the declared pandemic in 2019.

To listen to the April 18 Press Release in Washington, DC, see: <https://sovereignty.substack.com/p/on-now-rep-ralph-norman-hosts-a-press>  
And to hear the individual speakers at the Conference in Rome on April 19 start visit <https://cmsindipendente.it/>. The entire conference is found here: [www.youtube.com/watch?v=WYv-WSrPm04](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WYv-WSrPm04)

Despite the wishes of the 100,000 plus Canadians who signed either one or another petition raising concerns with the WHO Pandemic Treaty and International Health Regulations, not even the members of the Standing Committee on Health within Canada’s Parliament have been on record as having examined the pros and cons of Canada’s participation in these treaties. Withdrawing from the WHO merits a complex and lengthy discussion. Yet pressuring the WHO to remove the ratification of these two documents from the agenda of the upcoming World Health Assembly on May 27 and to defer them to a later date should be a much less complicated matter.